

Troubles Disordered Liver Stomach and Blood Diseases * * * this wonderful remedy * * * Is advocated for the treatment of Chronic and acute Kidney, Bladder, Stomach, Liver and Urinary Diseases. * * * restores impoverished blood to the rich, red condition of perfect health. Marsh Root removes the cause * * *"; (circular) " * * * This wonderful remedy is a prescription used by Dr. Carey, with marvelous success, for many years in the treatment of Kidney and Bladder Troubles, Bright's Disease, and difficulties of the liver. * * * for the benefit of all sufferers from those dread diseases. * * * This splendid remedy has proven itself of great value in the treatment of Bright's Disease, Diabetes, all Urinary troubles, Retention, Scanty, Stoppage, Too Frequent and Brickdust. Catarrh of the Bladder, Gravel and Gall Stones are positively relieved by this treatment. In cases of Spermatorrhoea, Debility and Seminal Weakness, Dr. Carey's Marsh Root will be found invaluable. * * * makes the Blood rich, red and healthy. * * * all that is claimed for Dr. Carey's marvelous medicine, Marsh Root, is beyond any question of doubt. There are thousands alive today who would be in their graves, caused by the awful effect of Kidney and Bladder Trouble, if they had not used this wonderful medicine, Marsh Root. * * * Kidney diseases * * * Bladder Troubles * * * paralysis of the bladder Diabetes * * * Uric Acid * * * eczema, or tetter Gravel * * * brickdust, sand or gravel * * * Bright's Disease * * * diseased condition of the ovaries * * * painful and unnatural menstruation. Marsh Root makes the kidneys strong and active and removes the uric acid which causes the pain, builds up the blood, increases its circulation, and regulates the monthly flow. Gravel or Stone in the Bladder * * * Marsh Root cures Gravel by dissolving the stones and deposits so that they are carried off with the urine. By making the kidneys and bladder strong and healthy they do not form again. Diabetes Sugar in the Urine * * * relief can be obtained much sooner if you procure Marsh Root to build up the digestive organs. * * * cured thousands of people * * * Backache, Weak Back, * * * Gout, Diabetes, Bright's Disease, Gravel, Irritation of the Bladder, Scalding of the Urine, Swelling of the Ankles, Dropsy, or some other form of Kidney or Urinary Trouble. Marsh Root has a direct and specific action in all form of Kidney, Bladder and Urinary Trouble, giving the kidneys strength to cast off all poisonous matter from the blood, thus stopping the cause of all diseases of this nature. * * * best known remedy for Bed Wetting in children and old people."

On November 12, 1920, the Carey Medical Corp., Rochester, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon the payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$300, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9608. Adulteration and misbranding of chocolate coating. U. S. * * * v. 30 Cases of Chocolate Coating * * *. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 14970. I. S. No. 10636-t. S. No. W-955.)

On May 31, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 30 cases of chocolate coating, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped

by the Boldemann Chocolate Co., San Francisco, Calif., January 21, 1921, and transported from the State of California into the State of Washington, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, "Boldemann's Sweet Coating Cutter."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that excessive cocoa shells had been mixed and packed with, and substituted in part for, the said article, and for the further reason that it was mixed and packed in a manner whereby inferiority was concealed.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement on the label, "Sweet Coating," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser when applied to an article resembling sweet chocolate and containing excessive cocoa shells. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of, another article.

On June 29, 1921, the Boldemann Chocolate Co., San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the product be relabeled under the supervision of this department.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9609. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. * * * v. 110 Tubs * * * of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 15107. I. S. No. 679-t. S. No. C-3093.)

On July 2, 1921, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 110 tubs, more or less, of butter, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Rapid City Creamery Co., Rapid City, S. Dak., June 15, 1921, and transported from the State of South Dakota into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, to wit, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, for the further reason that a substance deficient in milk fat and containing excessive moisture had been substituted in part for the said article, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the said article, to wit, butter fat, had been in part abstracted therefrom.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the said article was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of, another article, to wit, butter, and for the further reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package in terms of weight and measure.

On July 15, 1921, the Rapid City Creamery Co., Rapid City, S. Dak., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the